## **Delegated Decision Notice**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	Key Decision	Significant		Administrative		
		Operational Decision		Decision		
Approximate	Below £500,000	below £25,000		below £25,000		
value	500,000 to £1,000,000	£25,000 to £100,000		£25,000 to £100,000		
	over £1,000,000	£100,000 to £500,000				
		⊠ Over £500	,000			
Director <sup>1</sup>	Director of City Development					
Contact person:	James Chadwick		Telephone nu	e number: 01133787499		
Subject <sup>2</sup> :	Transforming Cities Fund –	Leeds City Ce	entre Cycling Ir	mprovements; Crown Point		
	Road					
Decision	What decision has been taken?					
details <sup>3</sup> :	The Chief Officer, Highways	s & Transporta	ation:			
	<ul> <li>a) Noted and approved the proposed layout of the Crown Point Road scheme as shown on drawing 861-LCC-18-DR-TM-01_01 which is attached as an appendix to this report;</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>b) Gave authority to the designer to issue a package of drawings to the contractor and for the contractor to construct the scheme as shown on the attached plans;</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>c) Gave authority to incur expenditure of £750,000, to be funded entirely from the West Yorkshire Combined Authority's TCF as previously endorsed by Executive Board;</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>d) Requested the City Solicitor to advertise Traffic Regulation Orders and Movement Orders as detailed on drawing 861-LCC-18-DR-TM-TRO_01 and, subject to no valid objections being received, to make, seal and implement the orders as advertised.; and</li> </ul>					
	e) Approved with reference to the powers contained in section 66(4) of the Highways Act 1980, that the relevant lengths of footway shown on attached Drawing No 861-LCC-18-DR-TM-01_01 as proposed cycle tracks are removed and that following the removal of the existing footways, cycle tracks are constructed under the powers contained under the provisions of section 65(1) of the Highways Act 1980 for segregated or shared joint use by pedal cyclists and pedestrians.					

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.
 <sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

<b></b>						
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision					
	To provide safe travel options for cyclists and create a link between several cycle					
	routes.					
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision					
	maker at the time of making the decision					
Affected wards:	Hunslet & Riverside					
Details of	Executive Member – Councillor Helen Hayden 23/05/22					
consultation						
undertaken4:	Ward Councillors – Consulted by email dated 10/12/2022.					
	Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup>					
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup>					
	Internal consultation was completed by email dated 10/12/2022.					
	Others – Emergency Services and WYCA were consulted by email dated					
	10/12/2022.					
	Local frontages / businesses were consulted by email dated 14/2/2022.					
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation					
	James Chadwick – Traffic Engineer					
	Implementation within the 2022/2023 financial year.					
List of	Date Added to List:- Previously placed on the Key Decisions list as part of the wider TCF scheme that					
Forthcoming Key Decisions <sup>7</sup>	went to Exec Board in June 2021.					
	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision					
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval					
	Signature Date					
Publication of report <sup>8</sup>	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:					

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.
 <sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of

digital technology <sup>6</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's land and buildings.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
 <sup>8</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

	If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
	Signature Date					
Call In	Is the decision available <sup>9</sup> for call-in? If exempt from call-in, the council or the public:	reason why ca	all-in would preju	☑ No dice the interests of the		
	Done as part of the Exec Board report.					
Approval of	Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>					
Decision	Gary Bartlett – Chief Officer, Highways and Transportation					
	Signature G T BasAcct	<i>+</i> .	Date: 18/05/22			

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.
 <sup>10</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.